UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/789,816 | 02/27/2004 | Richard M. Onyon | FUSI-02200 | 5654 |
| 28960 7590 07/17/2007 HAVERSTOCK & OWENS LLP | | EXAMINER | | |
| 162 N WOLFE ROAD | | | RAMPURIA, SHARAD K | |
| SUNNYVALE, CA 94086 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2617 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/17/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 10/789,816 | ONYON ET AL. | | | |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Sharad Rampuria | 2617 | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | | |
| (1) George Eng. | (3) Thomas B. Haverstock | (Reg. No. 32,571). | | | |
| (2) Sharad Rampuria. | (4)Liam J. Stannard (Inver | <u>otor)</u> . | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>27 June 2007</u> . | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2 | 2) applicant's representative | ·] | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> . | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Schrire et al. (US 20040235523) and Callahan (US 20040193953). | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant's representativ in claim 1 and the prior art reference and examiner agreed Thus, a further search and consideration are required upon (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no continuous continu</u> | e explained the different of the the limitations of claim 1 over a a response to the final reject. Iments which the examiner agony of the amendments that we have the same open the the two the same open of the amendments that we have the same open of the amendments that we have the same of the | colaimed invention as recited come the prior art rejection. ion is filed. reed would render the claims | | | |
| allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached | d.) | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| GEORGE ENG SUPERVISORY PATENT EX | AMINER S | 0 | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.